Geometry & Topology Monographs Volume 3: Invitation to higher local fields Part I, section 10, pages 95–101

10. Explicit higher local class field theory

Ivan Fesenko

In this section we present an approach to higher local class field theory [F1-2] different from Kato's (see section 5) and Parshin's (see section 7) approaches.

Let F ($F = K_n, ..., K_0$) be an n-dimensional local field. We use the results of section 6 and the notations of section 1.

10.1. Modified class formation axioms

Consider now an approach based on a generalization [F2] of Neukirch's approach [N]. Below is a modified system of axioms of class formations (when applied to topological K-groups) which imposes weaker restrictions than the classical axioms (cf. section 11).

(A1). There is a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -extension of F.

In the case of higher local fields let $F_{\rm pur}/F$ be the extension which corresponds to $K_0^{\rm sep}/K_0$: $F_{\rm pur} = \cup_{(l,p)=1} F(\mu_l)$; the extension $F_{\rm pur}$ is called the *maximal purely unramified extension* of F. Denote by ${\rm Frob}_F$ the lifting of the Frobenius automorphisms of $K_0^{\rm sep}/K_0$. Then

$$\operatorname{Gal}(F_{\operatorname{pur}}/F) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \operatorname{Frob}_F \mapsto 1.$$

(A2). For every finite separable extension F of the ground field there is an abelian group A_F such that $F \to A_F$ behaves well (is a Mackey functor, see for instance [D]; in fact we shall use just topological K-groups) and such that there is a homomorphism $\mathfrak{v}: A_F \to \mathbb{Z}$ associated to the choice of the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -extension in (A1) which satisfies

$$\mathfrak{v}(N_{L/F}A_L) = |L \cap F_{\mathrm{pur}} : F| \ \mathfrak{v}(A_F).$$

In the case of higher local fields we use the valuation homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{v}: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

of 6.4.1. From now on we write $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ instead of A_F . The kernel of \mathfrak{v} is $VK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$. Put

$$\mathfrak{v}_L = \frac{1}{|L \cap F_{\mathrm{pur}}:F|} \mathfrak{v} \circ N_{L/F}.$$

Using (A1), (A2) for an arbitrary finite Galois extension L/F define the reciprocity тар

$$\Upsilon_{L/F}$$
: $\operatorname{Gal}(L/F) \to K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(L), \qquad \sigma \mapsto N_{\Sigma/F}\Pi_{\Sigma} \mod N_{L/F}K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(L)$

where Σ is the fixed field of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an element of $\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\operatorname{pur}}/F)$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}|_{L} = \sigma$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}|_{F_{\mathrm{pur}}} = \mathrm{Frob}_F^i$ with a positive integer i. The element Π_{Σ} of $K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(\Sigma)$ is any such that $\mathfrak{v}_{\Sigma}(\Pi_{\Sigma}) = 1$; it is called a *prime element* of $K_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$. This map doesn't depend on the choice of a prime element of $K_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$, since $\Sigma L/\Sigma$ is purely unramified and $VK_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma) \subset N_{\Sigma L/\Sigma}VK_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma L).$

(A3). For every finite subextension L/F of F_{pur}/F (which is cyclic, so its Galois group is generated by, say, $a \sigma$)

(A3a)
$$|K_n^{\text{top}}(F): N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)| = |L:F|;$$

(A3b)
$$0 \to K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \xrightarrow{i_{F/L}} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L)$$
 is exact;
(A3c) $K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{N_{L/F}} K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ is exact.

(A3c)
$$K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{N_L/F} K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$$
 is exact.

Using (A1), (A2), (A3) one proves that $\Upsilon_{L/F}$ is a homomorphism [F2].

(A4). For every cyclic extensions L/F of prime degree with a generator σ and a cyclic extension L'/F of the same degree

(A4b)
$$|K_n^{\text{top}}(F): N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)| = |L:F|;$$

(A4c)
$$N_{L'/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L') = N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \Rightarrow L = L'.$$

If all axioms (A1)–(A4) hold then the homomorphism $\Upsilon_{L/F}$ induces an isomorphism [F2]

$$\Upsilon^{\mathrm{ab}}_{L/F}$$
: $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \to K^{\mathrm{top}}_n(F)/N_{L/F}K^{\mathrm{top}}_n(L)$.

The method of the proof is to define explicitly (as a generalization of Hazewinkel's approach [H]) a homomorphism

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{ab}}_{L/F} \colon\! K^{\mathrm{top}}_n(F)/N_{L/F} K^{\mathrm{top}}_n(L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$$

and then show that $\Psi^{ab}_{L/F} \circ \Upsilon^{ab}_{L/F}$ is the indentity.

10.2. Characteristic p case

Theorem 1 ([F1], [F2]). In characteristic p all axioms (A1)–(A4) hold. So we get the reciprocity map $\Psi_{L/F}$ and passing to the limit the reciprocity map

$$\Psi_F: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \to \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F).$$

Proof. See subsection 6.8. (A4c) can be checked by a direct computation using the proposition of 6.8.1 [F2, p. 1118–1119]; (A3b) for p-extensions see in 7.5, to check it for extensions of degree prime to p is relatively easy [F2, Th. 3.3].

Remark. Note that in characteristic p the sequence of (A3b) is not exact for an arbitrary cyclic extension L/F (if $L \not\subset F_{pur}$). The characteristic zero case is discussed below.

10.3. Characteristic zero case. I

10.3.1. prime-to- *p***-part.**

It is relatively easy to check that all the axioms of 10.1 hold for prime-to-p extensions and for

$$K'_n(F) = K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/VK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$$

(note that $VK_n^{\text{top}}(F) = \bigcap_{(l,p)=1} lK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$). This supplies the prime-to-p-part of the reciprocity map.

10.3.2. p-part.

If $\mu_p \leqslant F^*$ then all the axioms of 10.1 hold; if $\mu_p \nleq F^*$ then everything with exception of the axiom (A3b) holds.

Example. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$. Let $\omega\in k$ be a p-primary element of k which means that $k(\sqrt[p]{\omega})/k$ is unramified of degree p. Then due to the description of K_2 of a local field (see subsection 6.1 and [FV, Ch.IX $\S 4$]) there is a prime elements π of k such that $\{\omega,\pi\}$ is a generator of $K_2(k)/p$. Since $\alpha=i_{k/k(\sqrt[p]{\omega})}\{\omega,\pi\}\in pK_2(k(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$, the element α lies in $\bigcap_{l\geqslant 1}lK_2(k(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$. Let $F=k\{\{t\}\}$. Then $\{\omega,\pi\}\notin pK_2^{\text{top}}(F)$ and $i_{F/F(\sqrt[p]{\omega})}\{\omega,\pi\}=0$ in $K_2^{\text{top}}(F(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$.

Since all other axioms are satisfied, according to 10.1 we get the reciprocity map

$$\Upsilon_{L/F}$$
: Gal $(L/F) \to K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L), \quad \sigma \mapsto N_{\Sigma/F}\Pi_{\Sigma}$

for every finite Galois p-extension L/F.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 3 (2000) – Invitation to higher local fields

98 I. Fesenko

To study its properties we need to introduce the notion of Artin–Schreier trees (cf. [F3]) as those extensions in characteristic zero which in a certain sense come from characteristic p. Not quite precisely, there are sufficiently many finite Galois p-extensions for which one can directly define an explicit homomorphism

$$K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$$

and show that the composition of $\Upsilon^{ab}_{L/F}$ with it is the identity map.

10.4. Characteristic zero case. II: Artin-Schreier trees

10.4.1.

Definition. A p-extension L/F is called an Artin–Schreier tree if there is a tower of subfields $F = F_0 - F_1 - \cdots - F_r = L$ such that each F_i/F_{i-1} is cyclic of degree p, $F_i = F_{i-1}(\alpha)$, $\alpha^p - \alpha \in F_{i-1}$.

A p-extension L/F is called a strong Artin-Schreier tree if every cyclic subextension M/E of degree $p, F \subset E \subset M \subset L$, is of type $E = M(\alpha), \alpha^p - \alpha \in M$.

Call an extension L/F totally ramified if f(L|F) = 1 (i.e. $L \cap F_{pur} = F$).

Properties of Artin–Schreier trees.

- (1) if $\mu_p \not \leq F^*$ then every p-extension is an Artin–Schreier tree; if $\mu_p \leqslant F^*$ then $F(\sqrt[p]{a})/F$ is an Artin–Schreier tree if and only if $aF^{*p} \leqslant V_F F^{*p}$.
- (2) for every cyclic totally ramified extension L/F of degree p there is a Galois totally ramified p-extension E/F such that E/F is an Artin–Schreier tree and $E \supset L$.

For example, if $\mu_p\leqslant F^*$, F is two-dimensional and t_1,t_2 is a system of local parameters of F, then $F(\sqrt[p]{t_1})/F$ is not an Artin–Schreier tree. Find an $\varepsilon\in V_F\setminus V_F^p$ such that M/F ramifies along t_1 where $M=F(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon})$. Let $t_{1,M},t_2\in F$ be a system of local parameters of M. Then $t_1t_{1,M}^{-p}$ is a unit of M. Put $E=M\left(\sqrt[p]{t_1t_{1,M}^{-p}}\right)$. Then $E\supset F(\sqrt[p]{t_1})$ and E/F is an Artin–Schreier tree.

- (3) Let L/F be a totally ramified finite Galois p-extension. Then there is a totally ramified finite p-extension Q/F such that LQ/Q is a strong Artin–Schreier tree and $L_{\text{pur}} \cap Q_{\text{pur}} = F_{\text{pur}}$.
- (4) For every totally ramified Galois extension L/F of degree p which is an Artin–Schreier tree we have

$$\mathfrak{v}_{L_{\mathrm{pur}}}(K_{n}^{\mathrm{top}}(L_{\mathrm{pur}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)})=p\mathbb{Z}$$

where $\mathfrak v$ is the valuation map defined in 10.1, $K_n^{\text{top}}(L_{\text{pur}}) = \varinjlim_M K_n^{\text{top}}(M)$ where M/L runs over finite subextensions in L_{pur}/L and the limit is taken with respect to the maps $i_{M/M'}$ induced by field embeddings.

Proposition 1. For a strong Artin–Schreier tree L/F the sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Gal}(L/F)^{\operatorname{ab}} \xrightarrow{g} VK_n^{\operatorname{top}}(L_{\operatorname{pur}})/I(L|F) \xrightarrow{N_{L_{\operatorname{pur}}/F_{\operatorname{pur}}}} VK_n^{\operatorname{top}}(F_{\operatorname{pur}}) \to 0$$

is exact, where $g(\sigma) = \sigma \Pi - \Pi$, $\mathfrak{v}_L(\Pi) = 1$, $I(L|F) = \langle \sigma \alpha - \alpha : \alpha \in VK_n^{\mathsf{top}}(L_{\mathsf{pur}}) \rangle$.

Proof. Induction on |L:F| using the property $N_{L_{pur}/M_{pur}}I(L|F)=I(M|F)$ for a subextension M/F of L/F.

10.4.2. As a generalization of Hazewinkel's approach [H] we have

Corollary. For a strong Artin–Schreier tree L/F define a homomorphism

$$\Psi_{L/F}: VK_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}VK_n^{\text{top}}(L) \to \text{Gal}(L/F)^{\text{ab}}, \quad \alpha \mapsto g^{-1}((\text{Frob}_L - 1)\beta)$$

where $N_{L_{\mathrm{pur}}/F_{\mathrm{pur}}}\beta=i_{F/F_{\mathrm{pur}}}\alpha$ and Frob_L is defined in 10.1.

Proposition 2. $\Psi_{L/F} \circ \Upsilon_{L/F}^{ab}$: $Gal(L/F)^{ab} \to Gal(L/F)^{ab}$ is the identity map; so for a strong Artin–Schreier tree $\Upsilon_{L/F}^{ab}$ is injective and $\Psi_{L/F}$ is surjective.

Remark. As the example above shows, one cannot define $\Psi_{L/F}$ for non-strong Artin–Schreier trees.

Theorem 2. $\Upsilon_{L/F}^{ab}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Use property (3) of Artin–Schreier trees to deduce from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Gal}(LO/Q) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{LQ/Q}} & K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(Q)/N_{LQ/Q}K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(LQ) \\ & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & N_{Q/F} \downarrow \\ & \operatorname{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{L/F}} & K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\operatorname{top}}(L) \end{array}$$

that $\Upsilon_{L/F}$ is a homomorphism and injective. Surjectivity follows by induction on degree.

Passing to the projective limit we get the reciprocity map

$$\Psi_F: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \to \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F)$$

whose image in dense in $Gal(F^{ab}/F)$.

Remark. For another slightly different approach to deduce the properties of $\Upsilon_{L/F}$ see [F1].

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 3 (2000) – Invitation to higher local fields

100 I. Fesenko

10.5

Theorem 3. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_F} & \mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{ab}}/F) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \\ K_{n-1}^{\mathrm{top}}(K_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{K_{n-1}}} & \mathrm{Gal}(K_{n-1}^{\mathrm{ab}}/K_{n-1}). \end{array}$$

Proof. Follows from the explicit definition of $\Upsilon_{L/F}$, since $\partial\{t_1,\ldots,t_n\}$ is a prime element of $K_{n-1}^{\text{top}}(K_{n-1})$.

Existence Theorem ([F1-2]). Every open subgroup of finite index in $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ is the norm group of a uniquely determined abelian extension L/F.

Proof. Let N be an open subgroup of $K_n^{top}(F)$ of prime index l.

If $p \neq l$, then there is an $\alpha \in F^*$ such that N is the orthogonal complement of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ with respect to $t^{(q-1)/l}$ where t is the tame symbol defined in 6.4.2.

If char(F) = p = l, then there is an $\alpha \in F$ such that N is the orthogonal complement of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ with respect to $(,]_1$ defined in 6.4.3.

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0, l=p, \ \mu_p\leqslant F^*,$ then there is an $\alpha\in F^*$ such that N is the orthogonal complement of $\langle\alpha\rangle$ with respect to V_1 defined in 6.4.4 (see the theorems in 8.3). If $\mu_p\nleq F^*$ then pass to $F(\mu_p)$ and then back to F using $(|F(\mu_p):F|,p)=1$.

Due to Kummer and Artin–Schreier theory, Theorem 2 and Remark of 8.3 we deduce that $N = N_{L/F} K_n^{\text{top}}(L)$ for an appropriate cyclic extension L/F.

The theorem follows by induction on index.

Remark 1. From the definition of K_n^{top} it immediately follows that open subgroups of finite index in $K_n(F)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with open subgroups in $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$. Hence the correspondence $L\mapsto N_{L/F}K_n(L)$ is a one-to-one correspondence between finite abelian extensions of F and open subgroups of finite index in $K_n(F)$.

Remark 2. If K_0 is perfect and not separably p-closed, then there is a generalization of the previous class field theory for totally ramified p-extensions of F (see Remark in 16.1). There is also a generalization of the existence theorem [F3].

Corollary 1. The reciprocity map $\Psi_F: K_n^{\mathsf{top}}(F) \to \mathsf{Gal}(L/F)$ is injective.

Proof. Use the corollary of Theorem 1 in 6.6.

Corollary 2. For an element $\Pi \in K_n^{top}(F)$ such that $\mathfrak{v}_F(\Pi) = 1$ there is an infinite abelian extension F_{Π}/F such that

$$F^{ab} = F_{pur}F_{\Pi}, \quad F_{pur} \cap F_{\Pi} = F$$

and $\Pi \in N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)$ for every finite extension L/F, $L \subset F_{\Pi}$.

Problem. Construct (for n > 1) the extension F_{Π} explicitly?

References

- [D] A. Dress, Contributions to the theory of induced representations, Lect. Notes in Math. 342, Springer 1973.
- [F1] I. Fesenko, Class field theory of multidimensional local fields of characteristic 0, with the residue field of positive characteristic, Algebra i Analiz (1991); English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3(1992), 649–678.
- [F2] I. Fesenko, Multidimensional local class field theory II, Algebra i Analiz (1991); English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3(1992), 1103–1126.
- [F3] I. Fesenko, Abelian local p-class field theory, Math. Ann. 301 (1995), pp. 561–586.
- [F4] I. Fesenko, Abelian extensions of complete discrete valuation fields, Number Theory Paris 1993/94, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996, 47–74.
- [F5] I. Fesenko, Sequential topologies and quotients of the Milnor K-groups of higher local fields, preprint, www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/stqk.ps
- [FV] I. Fesenko and S. Vostokov, Local Fields and Their Extensions, AMS, Providence RI, 1993.
- [H] M. Hazewinkel, Local class field theory is easy, Adv. Math. 18(1975), 148–181.
- [N] J. Neukirch, Class Field Theory, Springer, Berlin etc. 1986.

Department of Mathematics University of Nottingham Nottingham NG7 2RD England

E-mail: ibf@maths.nott.ac.uk